# Quantitative Macro-Labor: Global Solution Techniques

Professor Griffy

UAlbany

Fall 2024

#### Announcements

 $\blacktriangleright$  Today: value function iteration.

- $\blacktriangleright$  Using:
	- 1. Grid search;
	- 2. Interpolation (grid search with functions filling in between nodes).
- $\triangleright$  Go through examples with MP94 model (code on cluster).
- $\blacktriangleright$  Empirical regularities project due 11/5!

## Solving a Model

 $\triangleright$  When we say "solve a model" what do we mean?

- 1. Find the equilibrium of the model.
- 2. Generally, determine the policy functions.
- 3. Determine the transition equations given the individual and aggregate state.
- 4. i.e., aggregate up the policy functions and determine prices given distributions.
- $\triangleright$  Generically, this is hard: many states, non-linear decision rules, etc.

# Solving a Model

- $\triangleright$  Generically, this is hard: many states, non-linear decision rules, etc.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Much of quantitative macro is about finding "shortcuts" without sacrificing accuracy of solution (some we have seen):
	- 1. Planner's problem: use welfare theorems to remove prices from problem.
	- 2. Rational expectations & complete markets: Aggregate worker decision rules by assuming they make same predictions about future prices, and face same consumption risk.
	- 3. Exogenous wage distribution/prices: agents do not respond to decisions of other agents.
	- 4. Block Recursive Equilibrium: agents face an equilibrium with individual prices, i.e., no need to know distribution.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Linearization: assume the economy is close enough to steady-state that transition equations (i.e., policy functions) are close to linear within small deviations.
- $\triangleright$  Value function iteration: discretize state space and solve model at "nodes" in state space.

## Discrete Mortensen and Pissarides (1994) Model

- iid productivity: draw  $\epsilon \sim_{iid} F(\epsilon)$ ; evolve at rate  $\lambda$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Wages determined by Nash Bargaining (bargaining power  $\alpha$ ).
- **D** agg shocks Z, endogenous separations when  $\epsilon < \epsilon_d$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Value of unemployment:

$$
U(z) = b + \beta \left[ p(\theta) \int_{\underline{\epsilon}}^{\overline{\epsilon}} \left[ \max \{ W(x, z'), U(z') \} \right] dF(x) + (1 - p(\theta)) U(z') \right]
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Value of employment:

$$
W(\epsilon, z) = w + \beta E[\lambda \alpha \int_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\epsilon}} [max\{S(x, z'), 0\} - S(\epsilon, z')] dF(x) + (1 - \lambda)W(\epsilon, z')]
$$

 $\triangleright$   $S(x, z)$ : joint surplus of firm & worker.

#### Firms

**Post vacancy at cost**  $\kappa$ **.** 

 $\blacktriangleright$  Value of a filled vacancy:

$$
J(\epsilon, z) = e^{z} \epsilon - w + \beta E[\lambda(1 - \alpha) \int_{\epsilon}^{\overline{\epsilon}} \max\{S(x, z'), 0\} dF(x) + (1 - \lambda) J(\epsilon, z')]
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Value of unfilled vacancy:

$$
V(z) = -\kappa + \beta E[q(\theta) \int_{\epsilon}^{\bar{\epsilon}} [\max\{J(x, z'), V(z')\}] dF(x) + (1 - q(\theta)) V(z')]
$$

Free entry  $(V = 0) \rightarrow$  match rate:  $q(\theta) = \frac{\kappa}{\beta E[\int_{\epsilon_d} J(x, z') dF(x)]}$ 

► Market tightness: 
$$
\theta = q^{-1} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\beta E[\int J dF(x)]} \right)
$$

## Surplus and Employment Thresholds

$$
\blacktriangleright \text{ Impose matching func: } p(\theta) = A\theta^{1-\eta}
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \text{ Surplus } S(\epsilon, z) = W(\epsilon, z) - U(z) + J(\epsilon, z) - V(z):
$$

$$
S(\epsilon, z) = e^{z} \epsilon - b + \beta \alpha E[\lambda \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(x, z') dF(x) + (1 - \lambda) max\{S(\epsilon, z),
$$
  
-  $A\theta^{1-\eta} \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(x, z') dF(x)]$   

$$
z' = \rho z + \epsilon_z, \ \epsilon_z \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon})
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  How are we going to solve this model?

$$
\blacktriangleright
$$
 Everything function of surplus.

Set up grid of 
$$
\epsilon
$$
 and  $z$ .

## Value Function Iteration

- $\blacktriangleright$  Basic approach to value function iteration:
	- 1. Create grid of points for each dimension in state-space.
	- 2. Specify terminal condition  $S_t$  for  $t = T$  at each point in state-space.
	- 3. Solve problem of agent in period  $T 1$ :  $S_t(\epsilon, z) = e^z \epsilon - b + \beta E[\text{func}(\epsilon_d)].$
	- 4.  $\epsilon_d(z)$  is policy function, which yields the point where  $S_t(\epsilon_d, z) = 0$
	- 5. Check to see if function has converged, i.e.,  $|S_t - S_{t+1}| <$  errtol $\forall (\epsilon, z)$

6. Update  $S_{t+1} = S_t$ 

Interpolation: same idea, but functions used to fill in between grid points.

# Grids

- $\triangleright$  Want: smallest grids reasonable.
- $\triangleright$  Grids are both shocks, pick set number of standard deviations.
- **•** Approximate a continuous  $AR(1)$  process with a markov process:
- Greate grid of potential z values  $\{z_1, ..., z_N\}$ , approximate AR(1) process through transition probabilities.

$$
E[z_t] = E[\rho z_{t-1} + \epsilon_{z,t}] = 0 \tag{1}
$$

$$
V[z_t] = V[\rho z_{t-1} + \epsilon_{z,t}] = \rho^2 \sigma_z^2 + \sigma_{\epsilon_z}^2 \qquad (2)
$$

$$
\rightarrow (1 - \rho^2)\sigma_z^2 = \sigma_{\epsilon_z}^2 \tag{3}
$$

 $\triangleright$  Define this process  $G(\bar{\epsilon}_z)$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$  Tauchen (1986):

$$
z_N = m\left(\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon_z}^2}{1-\rho^2}\right) \tag{4}
$$

$$
z_1 = -z_N \tag{5}
$$

 $z_2, ..., z_{N-1}$  equidistant (6)

## Expectations with AR(1) Process

 $\blacktriangleright$  Tauchen (1986):

$$
z_N = m\left(\frac{\sigma_{\epsilon_z}^2}{1-\rho^2}\right) \tag{7}
$$

$$
z_1 = -z_N \tag{8}
$$

$$
z_2, ..., z_{N-1} \text{ equidistant} \tag{9}
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Create an *nxn* transition matrix Π using probabilities

$$
\pi_{ij} = G(z_j + d/2 - \rho z_i) - G(z_j - d/2 - \rho z_i)
$$
 (10)

$$
\pi_{i1} = G(z_1 + d/2 - \rho z_i)
$$
 (11)

$$
\pi_{iN} = 1 - G(z_N + d/2 - \rho z_i)
$$
 (12)

 $\blacktriangleright$  Idiosyncratic shocks  $(\epsilon_z)$ :

- $\blacktriangleright$  Right way to do it: Gaussian Hermite Quadrature.
- Here: Same as above, set  $\rho = 0$ .

## Endogenous Separations

 $\blacktriangleright$  Problem:

$$
S(\epsilon, z) = e^{z} \epsilon - b + \beta \alpha E[\lambda \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(x, z') dF(x)
$$
  
+  $(1 - \lambda) max\{S(\epsilon, z'), 0\} - A\theta^{1-\eta} \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(x, z') dF(x)]$   
 $ln(z') = \rho ln(z) + \epsilon_z, \epsilon_z \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon})$ 

Find  $\epsilon_d(z)$  such that  $S(\epsilon_d, z) = 0$ 

 $\triangleright$   $S_0 = ?$  Safest bet to set it to zero at all  $\epsilon$ , z.

 $\blacktriangleright \theta_0 = ?$  Safest bet to set it to zero at all  $\epsilon, z$ .

## Value Function First Iteration

Intuitively, solve for surplus, find  $\epsilon$  at which would like to separate for every z.

 $\blacktriangleright$  Calculate the following:

$$
e^z \epsilon_1 - b + \beta \times 0 \tag{13}
$$

$$
e^z \epsilon_2 - b + \beta \times 0 \tag{14}
$$

$$
\cdots \hspace{2.5cm} (15)
$$

$$
e^z \epsilon_N - b + \beta \times 0 \tag{16}
$$

Find  $\epsilon_i$  st  $S(\epsilon_i, z) = 0$ .

Repeat for all  $z$ .

## Value Function First Iteration

- $\triangleright$  Now, check if problem has converged.
- $\blacktriangleright$  What does this mean?
- $\blacktriangleright$  The value in the current state is not changing over time.

$$
\blacktriangleright
$$
 i.e.,  $S_t(\epsilon, z) \approx S_{t+1}(\epsilon, z)$ .

- $\blacktriangleright$  First iteration: it won't be.
- $\blacktriangleright$  What do we do now?
- $\blacktriangleright$  Update the continuation value:

$$
\blacktriangleright S_{t+1} = S_t \text{ for all } \epsilon, z
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \theta = q^{-1} \big( \tfrac{\kappa}{(1-\alpha)S} \big)
$$

 $\triangleright$  Solve same problem again.

## Value Function Second Iteration

- $\blacktriangleright$  Solved for  $S(\epsilon, Z)$  in previous iteration.
- ▶ Repeat, solving  $S \forall \epsilon$ , z

$$
S(\epsilon, z) = e^{z} \epsilon - b + \beta \alpha E[\lambda \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(x, z') dF(x)
$$

$$
+ (1 - \lambda) max\{S(\epsilon, z'), 0\} - A\theta^{1-\eta} \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(x, z') dF(x)]
$$

$$
ln(z') = \rho ln(z) + \epsilon_z, \ \epsilon_z \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon})
$$

I Note that the continuation value is **not** zero!

$$
e^{z}\epsilon_1 - b + \beta \times \text{Cont. Val} \qquad (17)
$$

$$
e^{z}\epsilon_2 - b + \beta \times \text{Cont. Val} \qquad (18)
$$

 $\cdots$  (19)

$$
e^{z} \epsilon_{N} - b + \beta \times \text{Cont. Val} \qquad (20)
$$

## Value Function Second Iteration

 $\triangleright$  We check again to see if it has converged.

$$
\blacktriangleright \ \ \text{is} \ \mathsf{S}_t(\epsilon,z) \approx \mathsf{S}_{t+1}(\epsilon,z).
$$

- $\triangleright$  What do we do now?
- $\blacktriangleright$  Update the continuation value:

$$
\blacktriangleright \ S_{t+1} = S_t \text{ for all } \epsilon, z
$$

$$
\blacktriangleright \theta = q^{-1} \big( \tfrac{\kappa}{(1-\alpha)S} \big)
$$

- $\triangleright$  Solve same problem again.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Keep doing this until the difference is very small.

#### Great, we're done!



 $\blacktriangleright$  Not so fast: this isn't very accurate.

 $\triangleright$  Very slow if we have large numbers of states & grid points (scales exponentially).

## Fundamental Problem

 $\triangleright$  The reason we need to use a computer to solve this problem is that we *don't know* the function  $S(\epsilon, z)$ .

$$
S(\epsilon, z) = e^{z} \epsilon - b + \beta \alpha E[\lambda \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(x, z') dF(x)
$$
  
+  $(1 - \lambda) max\{S(\epsilon, z'), 0\} - A\theta^{1-\eta} \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(x, z') dF(x)]$   
 $ln(z') = \rho ln(z) + \epsilon_z, \ \epsilon_z \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon})$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  What is we approximate  $S(\epsilon, z)$  with other functions?
- $\triangleright$  Some useful properties we can pick these functions to have:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Continuous
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Differentiable
- $\blacktriangleright$  If our approximation is accurate enough, we can drop some grid points!

▶ Call interpolated function  $\hat{V}(k)$ . Then,

$$
S(\epsilon, z) = e^{z} \epsilon - b + \beta \alpha E[\lambda \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(\hat{x}, z') dF(x)
$$

$$
+ (1 - \lambda) max\{ S(\hat{\epsilon}, z'), 0 \} - A\hat{\theta}^{1-\eta} \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(\hat{x}, z') dF(x)]
$$

$$
ln(z') = \rho ln(z) + \epsilon_z, \ \epsilon_z \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon})
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Where  $k'$  solves

$$
e^{z}\epsilon - b + \text{Conf.}\; \text{Val} = 0 \tag{21}
$$

# Updating

 $\triangleright$  We do exactly the same thing as before:

$$
S(\epsilon, z) = e^{z} \epsilon - b + \beta \alpha E[\lambda \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(\hat{x}, z') dF(x) + (1 - \lambda) max\{S(\hat{\epsilon}, z'), 0\} - A\hat{\theta}^{1-\eta} \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(\hat{x}, z') dF(x)]
$$
(22)

 $\blacktriangleright$  For each z. Then, we check the convergence criteria:

$$
|S_t - S_{t+1}| < \text{errtol} \tag{23}
$$

▶ How do we create the function  $\hat{S}(\epsilon, z)$ ?

 $\blacktriangleright$  "Connect the dots" of  $S_t(\epsilon, z)$  between all  $\epsilon$  levels in order for each z.

In principle, interpolate in both dimensions,  $\epsilon$  and z

In Left is function evaluated at sample points  $x_1, ..., x_N$ . Right is for (linearly) interpolated function:



- In constructing our function  $\hat{S}(\epsilon, z)$ , we need to choose an interpolation scheme.
- $\triangleright$  Roughly, what *order* function do we believe will be accurate enough to mimick the value function:

 $\blacktriangleright$  First-order (linear)

- $\blacktriangleright$  Third-order (cubic)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Fifth-order (quintic)
- $\triangleright$  Some other useful interpolation routines:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  PCHIP (piecewise cubic hermite interpolating polynomial): shape-preserving (not "wiggly") continuous 3rd order spline with continuous first derivative.

▶ Choice DOES matter:



## Polynomial Interpolation

Suppose we have a function  $y = f(x)$  for which we know the values of y at  $\{x_1, ..., x_n\}$ .

 $\blacktriangleright$  Then, the nth-order polynomial approximation to this function f is given by

$$
f(x) \approx P_n(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1 x + a_0 \qquad (24)
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Then, we have a linear system with *n* coefficients.

We could write this as 
$$
y = X\beta
$$
. Look familiar?

## Polynomial Interpolation

#### $\blacktriangleright$  We solve

$$
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_0 & x_0^2 & \dots & x_0^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \dots & x_n^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}
$$

(25)

 $\blacktriangleright$  For  $a_0, ..., a_n$ 

- $\triangleright$  What's the example we are all familiar with? Linear regression:  $y = \alpha + X\beta$ .
- In practice, this is computationally expensive, but this is the intuition.

#### Great, we're done!



- $\triangleright$  Not so fast: how do we handle expected values?
- $\blacktriangleright$  Depends on expectation.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Need an accurate way to perform numerical integration.

## Surplus function

 $\blacktriangleright$  Problem:

$$
S(\epsilon, z) = e^{z} \epsilon - b + \beta \alpha E[\lambda \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(\hat{x}, z') dF(x)
$$
  
+  $(1 - \lambda) max\{S(\epsilon, z'), 0\} - A\hat{\theta}^{1-\eta} \int_{\epsilon_d}^{\overline{\epsilon}} S(\hat{x}, z') dF(x)]$   
 $ln(z') = \rho ln(z) + \epsilon_z, \epsilon_z \sim N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon})$ 



#### Expectations Generally

 $\blacktriangleright$  Expected values also need to be calculated carefully.

 $\blacktriangleright$  Continuation surplus from before:

$$
E[V(\epsilon, z')] \tag{26}
$$

If not an AR(1)/markov process, need to approximate integral.

Generically, pick function f and weights  $w_i$ 

$$
E[V(\epsilon, z')] = \int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^N w_i f(x_i)
$$
 (27)

$$
\blacktriangleright
$$
  $x_i$  may be known or picked optimally.

 $\triangleright$  We will return to this in the future.

## Next Time



#### $\blacktriangleright$  Empirical regularities project due soon!