Quantitative Macro-Labor: Wage Dispersion and Comparative Statics

Professor Griffy

UAlbany

Fall 2024

Announcements

Ducks won: I'll delay the homework... for now.

Recap: The McCall Model

Basic idea:

- 1. Workers can be in one of two states: employed or unemployed, with value functions V, U.
- 2. Receive job offers at exogenous rate α , no information about meeting prior.
- 3. Once employed, workers remain at current job until unexogenously separated (no OTJS) at rate δ .
- 4. Exogenous distribution of wages, $w \in [\underline{w}, \overline{w}], w \sim F(.)$.
- 5. Linear utility: u(c) = b or u(c) = w.
- Optimal policy is a "reservation strategy," i.e., a lower bound on the wages a worker will accept out of unemployment.

Model and Reservation Strategy

Generally, we will use the continuous time Bellman in its "asset value" formulation:

$$U = \frac{b + \alpha E[\max\{V, U\}]}{r + \alpha}$$
(1)

$$(r+\alpha)U = b + \alpha E[\max\{V, U\}]$$
(2)

$$rU = b + \alpha E[\max\{V - U, 0\}]$$
(3)

$$rU = b + \alpha \int_{\underline{w}}^{\overline{w}} \max\{V - U, 0\} dF(w)$$
 (4)



$$rV(w) = w - \delta(V(w) - U)$$
(5)



$$w_R = b + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1 - F(w)] dw$$
 (6)

Reservation Strategy II

Reservation strategy:

$$w_R = b + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1 - F(w)] dw$$
(7)

$$w_R = b + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} (w - w_R) dF(w)$$
(8)

- Assume that the distribution of wage offers is uniform.
- ▶ What is the conditional expectation of a truncated uniform random variable? $E[w w_R|w \ge w_R] = \frac{\bar{w} w_R}{2}$
- What is the probability of drawing from the truncated part of the offer distribution? P(w ≥ w_R) = ^{w̄-w_R}/_{w̄-w}.

$$w_R = b + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \frac{\bar{w} - w_R}{2} \frac{\bar{w} - w_R}{\bar{w} - \underline{w}}$$
(9)

$$w_R = b + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \frac{(\bar{w} - w_R)^2}{2(\bar{w} - \underline{w})}$$
(10)

(yes, this is the same as if you integrate the option value)

Reservation Strategy II

Reservation strategy:

$$w_R = b + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \frac{(\bar{w} - w_R)^2}{2(\bar{w} - \underline{w})}$$
(11)

$$w_R = b + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \frac{\bar{w}^2 - \bar{w}w_R + w_R^2}{2(\bar{w} - \underline{w})}$$
(12)

$$0 = b + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \frac{\bar{w}^2}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})} - \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \frac{\bar{w}}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})}\right) w_R \quad (13)$$
$$+ \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \frac{1}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})} w_R^2 \quad (14)$$

▶ Apply quadratic formula and choose root st $w_R \in [0, 1]$

$$\frac{\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\frac{\bar{w}}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})}\right)\pm\sqrt{\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\frac{\bar{w}}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})}\right)^{2}-4\left(b+\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\frac{\bar{w}^{2}}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\frac{1}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})}\right)}{2\left(b+\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\frac{\bar{w}^{2}}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})}\right)}$$
(15)

Reservation Strategy III

Reservation strategy:

$$\frac{(1+\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\frac{\bar{w}}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})})\pm\sqrt{(1+\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\frac{\bar{w}}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})})^2-4(b+\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\frac{\bar{w}^2}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})})(\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\frac{1}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})})}{2(b+\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta}\frac{\bar{w}^2}{2(\bar{w}-\underline{w})})}$$
(16)

Let's just pick some values for the parameters (assume monthly calibration):

1.
$$w \sim U[0,1]$$

2.
$$\alpha = 0.43$$
 : avg. mon. U-E (this isn't right. Why?)

3.
$$\delta = 0.03$$
 : avg. mon. E-U

5.
$$b = 0.4$$
 : UI rep. rate

•
$$w_R \in \{1.31, 0.72\}$$

I'm a little skeptical of these results, but you get the idea.

Hazard Rate

- What is the hazard rate of unemployment?
- Rate of leaving unemployment at time t.

$$H_u(t) = \alpha \int_{w_R}^{\bar{W}} dF(w)$$
(17)

$$= \alpha(F(\bar{w}) - F(w_R)) \tag{18}$$

$$= \underbrace{\alpha}_{MeetingRate} \underbrace{(1 - F(w_R))}_{Selectivity}$$
(19)

- Note, almost every search model generates a hazard composed of the product of a meeting probability and worker selectivity.
- Hazard rate of employment (leaving employment for unemployment)?

$$H_e(t) = \delta \tag{20}$$

Because separations are independent of state.

Dynamics of Unemployment

- Use hazard rates to understand dynamics and steady-state.
- What does the model predict about employment and unemployment?

$$\dot{u} = \delta(1-u) - \alpha(1-F(w_R))u \tag{21}$$

$$\dot{e} = \alpha (1 - F(w_R))(1 - e) - \delta e \qquad (22)$$

Steady-state: $\dot{u} = 0$, $\dot{e} = 0$:

$$0 = \delta(1 - u) - \alpha(1 - F(w_R))u$$
 (23)

$$ightarrow u = rac{\delta}{\delta + \alpha (1 - F(w_R))}$$
(24)

$$0 = \alpha (1 - F(w_R))(1 - e) - \delta e$$
(25)

$$\rightarrow e = \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_R))}{\alpha(1 - F(w_R)) + \delta}$$
(26)

What can we say about an increase in UI?

- Whenever we write down a model, we have created a laboratory.
- Let's run experiments with it!
- What will happen to wages and unemployment if UI b increases?
- For wages, all we need to know is the change in the reservation strategy:

$$\frac{\partial w_R}{\partial b} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \frac{\partial \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1 - F(w)] dw}{\partial w_R} \frac{\partial w_R}{\partial b}$$
(27)

Leibniz's integral rule:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(x,t) dt = f(x,b(x)) \frac{\partial b(x)}{\partial x} - f(x,a(x)) \frac{\partial a(x)}{\partial x}$$
(28)

$$+\int_{a(x)}^{b(x)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}f(x,t)dt$$
(29)



For wages, all we need to know is the change in the reservation strategy:

$$\frac{\partial w_R}{\partial b} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \frac{\partial \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1 - F(w)] dw}{\partial w_R} \frac{\partial w_R}{\partial b}$$
(30)

Leibniz's integral rule:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(x,t) dt = f(x,b(x)) \frac{\partial b(x)}{\partial x} - f(x,a(x)) \frac{\partial a(x)}{\partial x} + \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \frac{\partial f(x,t)}{\partial x} dt$$

$$\frac{\partial w_R}{\partial b} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} ([1 - F(\bar{w})] \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial w_R} - [1 - F(w_R)] \frac{\partial w_R}{\partial w_R} + \int_{\bar{w}_R}^{\bar{w}} \frac{\partial [1 - F(w_R)]}{\partial w_R})$$
$$\frac{\partial w_R}{\partial b} = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} [1 - F(w_R)] \frac{\partial w_R}{\partial b}$$
$$\frac{\partial w_R}{\partial b} = \frac{r+\delta}{r+\delta+\alpha [1 - F(w_R)]} < 1$$
(31)

What about unemployment?

$$ln(u) = ln(\delta) - ln(\delta + \alpha(1 - F(w_R)))$$
(32)
$$\frac{\partial ln(u)}{\partial b} = \frac{\alpha f(w_R) \frac{\partial w_R}{\partial b}}{\delta + \alpha(1 - F(w_R))}$$
(33)

- Unemployment clearly increases.
- More interesting: separation rate (δ) and offer arrival rate (α)
- Why? Predictions are unclear.
- If $\alpha \uparrow$, find jobs faster, but also sample better jobs more often.



For wages, all we need to know is the change in the reservation strategy:

$$\frac{\partial w_R}{\partial \alpha} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{r+\delta} \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1-F(w)] dw}_{Match \ Rate}} - \underbrace{\frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} [1-F(w_r)] \frac{\partial w_R}{\partial \alpha}}_{Selectivity}$$
(34)

$$\frac{\partial w_R}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1-F(w)] dw}{r+\delta+\alpha [1-F(w_r)]}$$
(35)
Now the semi-elasticity: $\frac{\partial \log(u)}{\partial \alpha}$

$$ln(u) = ln(\delta) - ln(\delta + \alpha(1-F(w_R)))$$
(36)

$$\frac{\partial ln(u)}{\partial \alpha} = \underbrace{\frac{\alpha f(w_R) \frac{\partial w_R}{\partial \alpha}}{\delta + \alpha(1-F(w_R))}}_{Selectivity} - \underbrace{\frac{(1-F(w_R))}{\delta + \alpha(1-F(w_R))}}_{Match \ Rate}$$
(37)

Log-Concavity

$$\frac{\partial \ln(u)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{\alpha f(w_R) \frac{\int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1 - F(w)] dw}{r + \delta + \alpha [1 - F(w_R)]}}{\delta + \alpha (1 - F(w_R))} - \frac{(1 - F(w_R))}{\delta + \alpha (1 - F(w_R))}$$
(38)

- Uh oh... how are we going to sign this?
- Properties of log-concave distributions (where F(x) is log-concave):
 - 1. F(x) log-concave $\rightarrow \int F(x)$ log-concave.
 - 2. F(x) log-concave $\rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$ log-concave. 3. $F(x)F''(x) \le (F'(x))^2$

Log-Concavity

- Properties of log-concave distributions (where F(x) is log-concave):
 - 1. F(x) log-concave $\rightarrow \int F(x)$ log-concave.
 - 2. F(x) log-concave $\rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}$ log-concave. 3. $F(x)F''(x) \le (F'(x))^2$

$$\blacktriangleright (\delta + \alpha (1 - F(w_R))) > 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln(u)}{\partial \alpha} \propto \alpha f(w_R) \frac{\int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1 - F(w)] dw}{r + \delta + \alpha [1 - F(w_r)]} - (1 - F(w_R)) \quad (39)$$
$$\propto \alpha f(w_R) \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} [1 - F(w)] dw - (1 - F(w_R))^2 < 0 \quad (40)$$

By the third property of log-concave distributions.

"Estimation"/Calibration

- Earlier, I picked some parameters from Hornstein, Krusell, and Violante ("Calibrated Example")
- If we want to match this model to the data, what targets can we use?
- Unconditional moments (i.e., population averages):
 - Hazard rates (U-E, U-E)
 - Employment rates (e, u)
 - Wage distribution
- How many moments do we need?
 - \blacktriangleright δ : separation rate
 - α: match rate
 - ► *F*(*w*): distribution function
- Can we separately (ex-ante) identify them?
- Particularly, what can we use to identify α and F(w)?

"Estimation"/Calibration II

- What targets can we use to discipline model?
- Unconditional moments (i.e., population averages):
 - Hazard rates (U2E, E2U)
 - Employment rates (e, u)
 - Wage distribution
- What should we match?
- Depends on what we are after:
 - Transition rates: don't target the transition rates.
 - Wage distribution: don't target the wage distribution.
- What time period should we use?
- Steady-state: time-independent .
- We could pick any time interval and get same steady-state.
- But, pick monthly.
- Return to calibration momentarily.

Why are Similar Workers Paid Differently?

- Posed by Dale Mortensen in his book "Wage Dispersion"
- Abowd, Kramarz, and Margolis (1999): "That... observably equivalent individuals earn markedly different compensation and have markedly different employment histories—is one of the enduring features of empirical analyses of labor markets..."
- What are some possible reasons?
 - 1. Ability
 - 2. Selectivity
- What does the McCall model say is the source of wage dispersion?

A Notion of Wage Dispersion

- Extremely clever paper: Hornstein, Krusell, Violante (2011).
- Basic idea: use the mean-min (Mm) ratio for wage dispersion.
- Almost every search model has expression for the Mm ratio.
- Compare model Mm with data Mm.
- Reservation strategy:

$$w_R = b + \frac{\alpha}{r+\delta} \int_{w_R}^{\bar{w}} (w - w_R) dF(w)$$
(41)

$$w_{R} = b + \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_{R}))}{r + \delta} \int_{w_{R}}^{\bar{w}} (w - w_{R}) \frac{dF(w)}{1 - F(w_{R})}$$
(42)
$$w_{R} = b + \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_{R}))}{r + \delta} \int_{w_{R}}^{\bar{w}} (w - w_{R}) \frac{dF(w)}{1 - F(w_{R})}$$
(43)

▶ Exp. of a truncated random variable: $E[w|w \ge w_R] = \hat{w}$

$$\rightarrow w_{R} = b + \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_{R}))}{r + \delta} [\hat{w} - w_{R}]$$
(44)

The Mean-Min Ratio

• Average UI in the economy: $b = \rho \hat{w}$

Reservation strategy:

$$w_R = \rho \hat{w} + \frac{\alpha (1 - F(w_R))}{r + \delta} [\hat{w} - w_R]$$
(45)

What is minimum wage in this economy? w_R of course!

$$(\rho + \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_R))}{r + \delta})\hat{w} = (1 + \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_R))}{r + \delta})w_R \qquad (46)$$
$$\rightarrow \frac{\hat{w}}{w_R} = \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_R))}{r + \delta}}{\rho + \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_R))}{r + \delta}} \qquad (47)$$

- Good news: $\rho < 1 \rightarrow$ mean wage is greater than w_R .
- What is incredibly useful (empirically) about this formulation?

Calibration

$$\frac{\hat{w}}{w_R} = \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_R))}{r + \delta}}{\rho + \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_R))}{r + \delta}}$$
(48)

- It's hard to separately identify the offer distribution and the accepted offer or wage distribution.
- ▶ This expression ignores the distinction: $\alpha(1 F(w_R)) = H_u$.
- ▶ We just need the observed hazard, and can plug in for values.

Calibration II

Parameters can be calibrated directly from observed data (or observed from HKV):

1.
$$\alpha(1 - F(w_R)) = 0.43$$
: avg. mon. U-E (HKV)
2. $\delta = 0.03$: avg. mon. E-U (HKV)
3. $r = 0.0041$: ann. int. rate (HKV)
4. $\rho = 0.4$: UI rep. rate (HKV)

$$\frac{\hat{w}}{w_R} = \frac{1 + \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_R))}{r + \delta}}{\rho + \frac{\alpha(1 - F(w_R))}{r + \delta}} = \frac{1 + \frac{0.43}{0.0341}}{0.4 + \frac{0.43}{0.0341}} = 1.046$$
(49)

Great! What does this tell us?

▶ The McCall model predicts Mm wage dispersion of 4.6%.

Wage Dispersion

► The McCall model predicts Mm wage dispersion of 4.6%.

0.05 0.04 Density 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.00 50 150 0 100 200 250 laborwageemp

Wage Distribution

- ► HKV: Mm ratio is roughly 2.
- What does this mean?

Next Time

- Extensions of the McCall model: On-the-Job Search.
- Between now and then:
 - 1. Access the campus storage/cluster.
 - 2. Run some example code.
 - 3. Start research proposal.